

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,520	01/07/2005	Hiroshisa Tanaka	71465.00011	9264
57362 7590 08042908 AKERMAN SENTERFITT 801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W.			EXAMINER	
			D'ANIELLO, NICHOLAS P	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,520 TANAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Nicholas P. D'Aniello 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
5) Information-Directours Determant(e) (PTO-65270)
5) Noting of Information Inf

Attachment(s)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/520,520

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

The amendment filed June 3rd 2008 is acknowledged. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended, claim 2 has been cancelled, claims 9-15 have been added and claims 1 and 3-15 remain pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-5 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiyuki et al. (Patent Abstracts of Japan JP 63-302950 hereinafter "Yoshiyuki", of record) in view of Kaneko et al. (US Publication No. 2001/0053467 hereinafter "Kaneko", of record) and Noguchi et al. (US Patent No. 4,237,030 hereinafter "Noguchi", of record).

Yoshiyuki teaches a process for producing a catalyst for waste gas purification in which an un-crystallized composition of perovskite composite oxide compound is heat treated together with alumina (see abstract).

Independent claims 1 and 8 differ from the reference in calling for the use of alpha or theta alumina and for a perovskite conforming to a particular formula and

Art Unit: 1793

containing a noble metal. Independent claim 12 differs in calling for the use of alpha or theta alumina and for a perovskite containing a noble metal.

Kaneko teaches a catalyst composition containing a perovskite composite oxide of the type expressed by a rational formula ABO₃, wherein A consists of two types of constituent elements of A' and A" and B consists of two types of constituent elements of B' and B", and the perovskite composite oxide is expressed by a general formula A'₁.

"A","B"_{1,7}B"₇O₃. The A' is La or Ce, the A" is at least one element selected from the group consisting of La, Ca, Sm, Ce, Sr, Ba and Pr, the B' is at least one type element selected from the group consisting of Co, Fe, Mn and Gd, and the B" is any one type of a noble metal such as Ru, Rh, Pd, Pt, or the like. Further, Kaneko does not preclude y or x from being 0 and therefore this composition includes LaFe_{1-y}Pd_yO₃ (see abstract, paragraph [0014]). This catalyst composition has a wide range of use and high durability in an oxidizing atmosphere (such as clarifying exhaust gas) (paragraph [0037]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the perovskite-type composite oxide containing a noble metal taught by Kaneko for the perovskite composite oxide in the pre-crystalline composition in the method of Yoshiyuki in order to obtain a catalyst composition has a wide range of use and high durability in an oxidizing atmosphere as taught by Kaneko.

Additionally, it would have been obvious in the art to use alpha or theta alumina in the method of Yoshiyuki because Noguchi teaches a catalyst for purifying exhaust gas where the catalyst support is mainly comprised of alpha-alumina because it is the

Art Unit: 1793

range.

most thermally stable form of alumina, which is desirable for high temperature application such as exhaust (waste) gas purification (column 4, lines 28-39).

In regard to claim 3, as noted above, Kaneko teach the noble metal to be Pd. In regard to claim 4, as noted above, this composition includes LaFe_{1-y}Pd_yO₃. In regard to claim 5, alumina inherently contains Al_2O_3 which falls in the claimed

In regard to claims 9 and 13, as noted above, Kaneko teaches the precrystallization composition comprises elementary components where B" is any one type of a noble metal such as Ru. Rh. Pd. Pt (paragraph [0014]).

In regard to claims 10, 11, 14 and 15, in the modified version of Yoshiyuki, the noble metal is mixed in with the alumina (alpha or theta) and the perovskite (as it is part of the perovskite per Kaneko) in the same step (abstract).

3. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiyuki et al. (Patent Abstracts of Japan JP 63-302950 hereinafter "Yoshiyuki") and Kaneko et al. and Noguchi et al. (US Patent No. 4,237,030 hereinafter "Noguchi") as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP 11-262663 (hereinafter JP '663, of record).

Yoshiyuki and Noguchi teach a method of making a catalyst as applied to claim

1. Claims 6 and 7 differ from the references in calling for preparing the composition by mixing the solution containing alkoxides of the perovskite with an organometallic salt of the noble metal. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time

Art Unit: 1793

the invention was made to make the pre-crystallization composition in such a manner because JP '663 teaches a method of making a catalyst by using an organometallic salt of the noble metal (specifically platinum) and an alkoxide other than one of the noble metal as starting materials for a catalyst for waste gas purification. Further, the desirability to mix the organometallic salt with a solvent such as alcohol or ether and mixtures thereof and the ability to obtain a gel by mixing with acetyl acetone (a diketone, claim 7) is taught (claim 2, paragraph [0016], a machine translation of the publication has been provided, ARUKOKISHIDO meaning organometallic).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the independent claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment has imported the limitations of dependent claim 2 into the independent claim, necessitating the new ground of rejection. Specifically, in regard to the argument on page 10 that the primary reference (Yoshiyuki) does not include a noble metal as part of the mixture, this is unpersuasive because the catalyst composition would be considered a mixture at any point during the process (even after coating with noble metal). However, at this point the perovskite could be partly crystallized (i.e. not pre-crystallized) due to the heat treatment.

However, as noted in the new ground of rejection presented above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a noble metal in that the pre-crystalline mixture of alumina and perovskite because

Art Unit: 1793

Kaneko teaches a similar catalyst where the perovskite-type composite oxide contains a noble metal (paragraph [0014]) and is highly durable in oxidizing atmospheres (such as clarifying exhaust gas) (paragraph [0037]).

Therefore, in the modified version of Yoshiyuki presented in the rejection, the pre-crystallization composition contains the elementary components including the theta/alpha alumina, perovskite-type oxide and a noble metal; where the perovskite-type oxide is represented by the general formula (1) in the claims.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas P. D'Aniello whose telephone number is (571)270-3635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am to 5pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Ward can be reached on (571) 272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. P. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 7/25/08

/Jessica L. Ward/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793